

REMNANT PROPHECY SEMINAR

The 'New' View of the 'Daily'

The time in prophetic history when Pagan Rome succumbed to Papal Rome is purposely recorded in Daniel as the relationship between the 'Daily' and the Abomination of Desolation. It is also seen in Revelation and the Spirit of Prophecy.

"The apostle Paul, in his second letter to the Thessalonians, foretold the great apostasy which would result in the establishment of the papal power. He declared that the day of Christ should not come, 'except there come a falling away first, and that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition; who opposeth and exalteth himself above all that is called God, or that is worshiped; so that he as God sitteth in the temple of God, showing himself that he is God.' And furthermore, the apostle warns his brethren that 'the mystery of iniquity doth already work.' 2 Thessalonians 2:3-4, 7. Even at that early date he saw, creeping into the church, errors that would prepare the way for the development of the papacy.



"Little by little, at first in stealth and silence, and then more openly as it increased in strength and gained control of the minds of men, 'the mystery of iniquity' carried forward its deceptive and blasphemous work. Almost imperceptibly the customs of heathenism found their way into the Christian church. The spirit of compromise and conformity was restrained for a time by the fierce persecutions, which the church endured under paganism. But as persecution ceased, and Christianity entered the courts and palaces of kings, she laid aside the humble simplicity of Christ and His apostles for the pomp and pride of pagan priests and rulers; and in place of the requirements of God, she substituted human theories and traditions.

"The nominal conversion of Constantine, in the early part of the fourth century, caused great rejoicing; and the world, cloaked with a form of righteousness, walked into the church. Now the work of corruption rapidly progressed. Paganism, while appearing to be vanquished, became the conqueror. Her spirit controlled the church. Her doctrines, ceremonies, and superstitions were incorporated into the faith and worship of the professed followers of Christ.

"This compromise between paganism and Christianity resulted in the development of the 'man of sin' foretold in prophecy as 'opposing and exalting himself above God.' That gigantic system of false religion is a masterpiece of Satan's power. A monument of his efforts to seat himself upon the throne to rule the earth according to his will." *The Great Controversy*, 49-50.

The history that the 'Daily' represents in relationship to the Abomination of Desolation is full of information about what's transpiring here at the End of the World. Sister White points to verses 30-36 as a pattern for the final fulfillment of Daniel 11, and this pattern was clearly understood by her and the pioneers.

The works of the pioneers set forth history of verses 30-36, the transition of Pagan Rome to Papal Rome, and much of what took place there politically and religiously, and the tactics used

Understanding The 'Daily' B

to bring in compromise, are object lessons for what we are beginning to experience at the End of the World.

The pioneers clearly understood the event in that history marking when Paganism began to turn its efforts towards supporting the Papacy was when Clovis, king of France, a Pagan monarch, professedly turned to Catholicism. For the first time a power that prior to that had been opposed to Catholicism, the power of France, professes to become Catholic, and it began a work that ultimately led to the setting up of the Papacy for the 1260 year time period.

Historians point to Clovis as beginning this work of the removal of the three horns of Daniel 7. The Ostragoths, the Heruli and the Vandals, were pagans as well as the other seven horns in Daniel 7, however, these three horns were said to hold to beliefs that would not, and could not, co-exist with Catholicism and be incorporated into Catholicism as the pagan rituals could, so they had to be eliminated.

These three horns were military, as well as political and religious, so in order to remove them, the Papacy, not having a military strength of its own, had to use another military strength to do this. The military support for the Papacy to accomplish the removal of these three horns began to solidify for the Papacy when Clovis professed to become Catholic in 496. From that point on the momentum grew until the historical marker for when the battle to eliminate these three horns takes place is 508. This is where the pioneers correctly marked the removing of the 'Daily', of Paganism.

We need to understand that when Paganism, the seven pagan nations of Europe came to the aid of the Papacy to remove the three pagan horns, also when Daniel is talking about the 'Daily', paganism, being 'taken away', it is in the sense of profession. These nations of Europe are still going to be just as pagan in heart and action as they were before; they are simply going to profess to be Christians and call themselves Catholics. Catholicism is nothing more than paganism with a Christian veneer; so paganism is not actually eliminated, it's just taking another form.



The paganism that has to be literally removed is these three horns, and the other seven horns will remove their paganism by cloaking it with a profession of Catholicism. Uriah Smith, in Daniel and Revelation, shows that the pioneers understood this 508 time period.

"As we approach the year A. D. 508, we behold a mighty crisis ripening between Catholicism and the pagan influences still existing in the empire. Up to the time of the conversion of Clovis, king of France in A. D. 496, the French and other nations of Western Rome were pagan; but following that event, the efforts to convert idolaters to Romanism were crowned with great success. ...

"From the time when those successes were fully accomplished, in A. D. 508, the papacy was triumphant so far as paganism was concerned; for though the latter doubtless retarded the

Understanding The 'Daily' B

progress of the Catholic faith, yet it had not the power, if it had the disposition, to suppress the faith, and hinder the encroachment of the Roman pontiff. When the prominent powers of Europe gave up their attachment to paganism, it was only to perpetuate its abominations in another form; for Christianity as exhibited in the Roman Catholic Church was, and is, only paganism baptized. ...

"We think it clear that the Daily was taken away by AD. 508. This was preparatory to the setting up, which was a separate and subsequent event. Of this prophetic narrative now leads us to speak.

"Papacy Sets Up an Abomination: 'They shall place the abomination that maketh desolate.'" Having shown quite fully what we think constitutes the taking away of the Daily, or paganism, we inquire, When was the abomination that maketh desolate, or the papacy, placed, or set up? The little horn that had eyes like the eyes of man was not slow to see when the way was open for his advancement and elevation. From the year 508 his progress toward universal supremacy was without parallel." The prophecies of Daniel and the Revelation, Uriah Smith, page 271-273.

That third horn was removed by 538. Today in Adventism we no longer teach in our universities that the 'Daily' is paganism; we teach incorrectly that it is identifying Christ's work in the sanctuary above, and because of that, we certainly don't locate Christ's work in the sanctuary as having any historical relationship with 508 and we miss the significance of this history.

One of the marker points for this history was the professed 'conversion' of Clovis to Catholicism in 496. This is a history that is very important to Catholicism, and led to setting Catholicism on the throne of the earth. It is understood by Catholicism even if Adventism no longer understands it; this is what brought them to power.

1996 marked the 1500th year since Clovis supposedly converted to Catholicism and the Roman Catholic Church celebrated this event. An article in the 'National Catholic Register', Sept. 1996 describes what went on in commemorating this event and adds some of the history we no longer understand as Adventists, but should. This is the history that is so important in the books of Daniel and Revelation and to Adventist prophetic understanding, and is undermined by the false view of the 'Daily.'

The *National Catholic Register*, September 8, 1996. PARIS: "John Paul II is coming to France this month; his fifth visit since becoming Pope in 1978; to celebrate the 15th centenary of the baptism of Clovis, the first Western Christian king and founder of the modern French nation. It was as a result of that baptism-traditionally believed to have taken place in Reims in 496 A.D.-that France glories in the title of the "eldest daughter of the Church." .. It was in Reims that Clovis, pagan leader of the Salian Franks, was baptized by St. Remi, the bishop of Reims, in the presence of all the king's nobles. He was to give to France (then still known as Gaul) its name, its capital, its first royal dynasty (the Merovingians, named after his grandfather, Merovec), and its official faith.

"Some have suggested that Clovis' baptism was also the baptism of France. ... The king's baptism did, however, mark the first official recognition of Christianity in a country still dominated by paganism and Arianism (the early Christian heresy which denied the divinity of Christ). The history of France and of Europe, and indeed the history of the Catholic Church

Understanding The 'Daily' B

would not have been the same if this baptism had not taken place. ... Celebrating Clovis baptism endorse the traditional view that his conversion marked the actual founding of France. "By celebrating the baptism of Clovis, the French republic is unilaterally endorsing a certain Christian image of France," he wrote. "To remember Clovis is to recall monarchic religious and the divine rights of kings. ...

"Shortly after establishing himself as king, Clovis fell in love with and married Clotilda, a beautiful Bergundian princess who had been left a penniless orphan after the brutal murder of her parents by her wicked uncle, the king of Burgundy. A devout Catholic, Clotilda was to play a key role in her pagan husband's conversion to Christianity.

According to tradition, Clovis spiritual turning point came in 496 during the battle of Tobiac against the Alemanni (another invading Germanic tribe). When all appeared lost for the Franks, Clovis raised his eyes to the heavens and cried out, "God of Clotilda, if you give me victory, I will become a Christian." The Alamanni turned and fled.

"Not long after this, during Clovis baptism, a second miracle reportedly occurred."The baptizing priest, who had been sent to bring the holy oil to the baptistery, was unable to push his way back through the hordes of Clovis' Frankish warriors crowding around the cathedral. The situation was saved by the sudden arrival of a white dove, which was seen to descend with a holy vial which it carefully placed in Clovis' hands. In the 25 years of his reign, Clovis ...managed to drive off the waves of barbarian invaders and greatly extend his realm's boundaries to the east and south, consolidating his power through an alliance with the Church. ...

"It is not yet known whether French President Jacques Chirac, who will meet the Pope upon his arrival in France on September 19, will attend the anniversary celebration of Clovis baptism three days later in Reims Cathedral. He may now consider it politically ill-advised. But there is little doubt that his predecessor and political mentor, General Charles de Galle, would have gone. "For me," de Galle said, "the history of France begins with Clovis. My country is Christian and I begin to count the history of France from the arrival of a Christian king bearing the name of the Franks. Millions of French Catholics would still agree." The *National Catholic Register*, September 8, 1996.

This history of the seven horns of the former Roman Empire that turn to Catholicism and remove those other three horns in order to place the Papacy on the throne of the world, is well understood by Catholicism, and is important for us, who live at the End of the World to understand, as it sets a pattern for circumstances taking place in our time.

Kings of the North and South

In our study of Daniel 11:40-45, in verse 40, we identify the King of the South, atheism, beginning the war against the King of the North, Catholicism in 1798 the 'Time of the End'. The influence that brought about the French Revolution, and that historians say placed Napoleon Bonaparte upon the throne of France, was atheism, a philosophy nurtured and brought into France just prior to the French Revolution.

That philosophy inspired Napoleon to take the Pope captive, thus delivering the deadly wound and beginning a war between the philosophy of Atheism and Catholicism. This philosophical

Understanding The 'Daily' B

battle and war continues in verse 40 of Daniel 11, until in our day there is an alliance that's illustrated between the King of the North, Catholicism and another power, symbolized by chariots, ships and horseman, which we identify as the United States.

The chariots, ships, and horsemen indicate military and economic strength, the characteristics of the second beast of Revelation 13. The United States is the 'lamb-like' Beast that's going to force the whole world to worship the Beast of Catholicism. The force that it uses to bring the world to worship the Beast is military and economic strength. You must receive the mark if you are going to buy or sell; and if you don't receive the mark you'll be put to death. So these two characteristics Revelation 13 reveals of the United States are symbolized in Daniel 11:40 by chariots, ships and horsemen.

Sister White points to Daniel 11:30-36, and says 'scenes similar to these will take place'. That history describes this transition time of Clovis and onward, until the Papacy begins its 1260 years of ruling the earth in 538. Clovis illustrates a power that had formerly been opposed to Catholicism. The Pagan powers of Europe were the powers that prior to the time of Clovis, were throwing Christians to the lions. I hesitate to call Catholicism Christian, because I do not believe it is, but at least historically, Catholicism is identified as a branch of Christianity. But paganism was not only opposed to true Christianity, it was opposed to Catholicism and had been its worse opposer. Clovis in that sense represented an opposition to Catholicism, yet he converted to Catholicism, and his conversion marked the beginning of the other pagan powers coming into agreement with him and beginning to work to place Papalism on the throne of the earth.



As we identify the second Beast of Revelation 13, the Lamb-like Beast, the United States, represented by the symbols of chariots and ships and horsemen in Daniel 11:40, we see a power, Protestant America, formerly opposed to Catholicism. If there is a power in the world that opposed Catholicism most, it was certainly Protestantism. Prior to the time that United States formed a secret alliance with the Vatican in the Reagan years in the early 1980s, certainly the most prominent Protestant force in the world was the United States.

It had opposed Catholicism. If you drop back into the history of the United States 50, 75 years, it was a strong opposition to Catholicism. Here we see a modern-day Clovis, the United States, as the number one Protestant force in the world, suddenly turns away from its Protestant position and forms a secret alliance with the Vatican in order to attack a mutual enemy, the Soviet Union, Atheism. This secret alliance is repeating a history symbolized by Clovis, and is represented by the word 'Daily'.

Modern Babylon in Revelation 16 is called the 'great city' and it's divided into three parts; the Dragon, the Beast, and the False Prophet. In the study of the 'Daily' and its relationship to the 'Abomination of Desolation', this Dragon power is Paganism. Paganism began at the tower of Babel, [actually it began at Cain and Abel] and continues down through history. There comes a time in the history of Paganism when it was destined to subside, but not cease. It was just going

Understanding The 'Daily' B

to give way to this second power that was to oppose God and His people in history, this is Papalism.

We know that Papalism's time to reign began prophetically in 538. So this history is describing the relationship of two powers; Paganism, opposing God and His people since the beginning; then there comes a point in prophetic history where paganism gives way, it doesn't cease, just gives way, and works to allow Papalism to rise to the throne of the earth. The time period that Papalism dominated the earth and resisted God, His truth and His people is 1260 years which ended in 1798.

In 1798, we see a third transition take place; the next power that goes to make up Modern Babylon in Revelation 16, the 'False Prophet', began its history in 1776. The United States arose as a lamb-like Beast, but was destined to reach a place in history where it simply wasn't Protestant America anymore, it becomes the False Prophet. The word 'Protestant' signifies to protest against Rome, and when the United States formed a secret alliance in the early 1980s with the Vatican, it ceased, at least politically, to be a Protestant nation and to protest Rome. At that point it is at least beginning to be the 'False Prophet'. Here we see in Revelation 16, these three powers go together to make up the three-fold union of Modern Babylon.

How did it happen?

This history, the transition from Paganism to Papalism so much talked about in prophecy, is what Daniel is describing when he talks about the 'Daily' and the 'Abomination of Desolation'.

The people that arrive at the false view of the 'Daily' get there by assuming that because Daniel chose the word 'continual', 'Tamid', which is translated 'Daily', he must be referring to the sanctuary. But when you understand that the 'Daily' symbolized paganism, you can realize how perfect a word it was for Daniel to use. As we look at these three powers in modern Babylon, the False Prophet began its rise to power in 1776; the Beast of Catholicism began to rule the earth in 538. All three of these powers, Paganism, the Beast, and the False Prophet, exist at the End of the World, *but there is only one of those powers that has been opposing God, God's people, and His truth, throughout history* and it is Paganism. It has '*continually*' been opposing God's people and the truth. When Daniel symbolizes Paganism with the word 'continual' used as a noun, it is the perfect word, because Paganism is the one power of the three-fold union of modern Babylon that has *continually* been there; the word is perfectly accurate.

This pioneer understanding existed in Adventism until the turn of the 20th century when a man named Conradi, an Adventist leader in Europe, began to reintroduce the old evangelical view of the 'Daily'—that it symbolized Christ's work in the sanctuary. He was the only one that held that view; and if you consider who Conradi was, he was an apostate of no small caliber. If there is a lack of reverence for the work of Sister White in Europe today, most people trace it right back to Conradi's work in Europe; because he undermined the Spirit of Prophecy the whole time that he worked there. This is not a man whose work you would wish to uphold in any fashion.

He began to promote this view that the 'Daily' wasn't the way the pioneers understood it. Then two leaders in the United States accepted his position and began to promote it; Daniells and Prescott. People that get involved today with this discussion about the 'Daily', go back to the

Understanding The 'Daily' B

writings of Sister White during that time period, and basically her counsel was, 'I don't have any new information on the 'Daily'. She had already said in Early Writings that the pioneer position was correct, but what she said more often than not was, "Let's just lay this discussion aside. It's only destined to bring in confusion and darkness."

So why was she giving that counsel at that time? Here we have Daniells and Prescott that have a real burden; they are on fire to promote this New View of the 'Daily', which in reality is only the old Evangelical view from long before the 1844 time period. But in her writings, she refers to it as the New View. Daniells and Prescott have this burden to promote it and her counsel at that time about the subject is 'silence is golden'; 'let's drop the subject'.

Now, during that time period, it was just these two men who were trying to promote this false idea, and she was saying, 'let's drop the subject.' So she wasn't endorsing them by saying 'let's drop the subject'. She was trying in a very Christ-like fashion to encourage these brethren to get off that position, because it wasn't a work that was right to pursue. She was hoping that everybody else would remember that she said, 'let's just drop the subject about this New View.' But it didn't happen that way; they continued to promote this view and push for it.

It's interesting as you go back through Adventist history and look at many well known leaders that have apostatized and left the faith, many of them, before they got all the way out, or right at the beginning of their departing from the truth, accepted this 'New View' that Daniells and Prescott were promoting—that the 'Daily' represents Christ's work in the sanctuary. It is also significant to notice that at the present this 'New View' is almost totally taught in Adventism and the whole denomination is showing gross apostasy!

School for Apostasy

When you accept this false view and you carry it through to its logical conclusion, it sweeps away William Miller and the pioneers' understanding of this history of Paganism and Papalism. It undermines the very time prophecies that were the foundation of Adventism, and as it continues to be applied to the whole message of Adventism, it just destroys it.

While most people by far accept this false view, they do not carry it to its logical conclusion. They just accept that the word 'continual' translated as 'Daily', is a sanctuary word so that's what it's talking about; and they read through those passages and never do their homework to see what it does to understanding prophecy. They move on and they forget about it. But some of the people that accepted this false position in years past in Adventism, *have* taken it to its full conclusion and because they accepted it and they took it to its full conclusion, they found that they ultimately had to reject the entire Adventist message. They realized that the Adventist message was incorrect because of what the 'Daily' does to the Adventist message if you understand it to be Christ's ministry in the Sanctuary.

People in Adventism that have gone out into darkness along the way, at the beginning of that walk into darkness often had accepted this false view of the Daily. This 'New View' of Conradi, was the view held by Protestant Christianity before William Miller. Conradi began to promote it around the turn of century; eventually he totally apostatized.

Understanding The 'Daily' B

E. J. Waggoner accepted the 'New View', and then repudiated Ellen White. He states, "*Early Writings* most clearly and decidedly declares the old view. O. A. Johnson shows most clearly that the *Testimonies* uphold the view taught by Smith." E. J. Waggoner, *Letter*, November 22, 1909.

What Waggoner is saying here is that he no longer could accept the pioneer position; it's just not valid for him. He's accepted the New View, the false view, and Ellen White endorses the old view; now what does that do? *It doesn't just destroy your prophetic understanding of the Adventist message; it proves that Ellen White is a false prophet.* And that's where Waggoner went with this thing.

Some will tell you, "Well Sister White doesn't take a position one way or the other on the 'Daily'"; but Waggoner and others like O. A. Johnson clearly identified that Sister White upholds the pioneer position, and this was a struggle that helped take Waggoner out. Waggoner teaches Prescott, who then teaches Daniells and then they both began to work on Willie White.

W. W. Prescott eventually abandons the sanctuary doctrine.

A. T. Jones accepted 'New View'; and apostatized completely.

W. H. Olson argued the 'New View' [Daniells' and Prescott's] requires repudiation of Ellen White, agreeing with Waggoner; he adds also that 1844 falls apart; "The whole 1844 structure falls hopelessly apart." *2300 Day Prophecy*, 44, 51-52.

Ballenger received New View; apostatized completely.

Fletcher received New View; apostatized completely.

Snide received New View; apostatized completely.

L. J. Grieve receives New View; apostatized completely.

Brimsmead received New View; apostatized completely.

Hilgbert received New View; apostatized completely.

Sibley received New View; apostatized completely.

Ford received New View; apostatized completely.

The denomination mostly receives the 'New View' and is well on its way in apostasy.

By their fruits ye shall know them. In the list we just looked at are probably the most prominent apostates in Advent history since 1900, and the one thing they have in common is their position on the 'Daily'; they take the false view of the 'Daily'!

Sister White upheld the pioneer view until her death in 1915; but Daniells and others continued to push this false view, until today it's the view taught in our universities and printed in our books by our theologians. It grew through history, and in 1945 an attempt was made to change the 'Daily' position in Uriah Smith's *Daniel and the Revelation*. They wanted to remove the pioneer position on the 'Daily' out of it because at that point, they had no use for that position. So they tried to remove Uriah Smith's position on the 'Daily' and they realized they couldn't do it. *His presentation is so sound and it was so interwoven through the whole story of the*

Understanding The 'Daily' B

prophetic message of Daniel, that they couldn't take it out and had to leave it in there, even though they no longer agreed with it. It cannot be done logically. That tells you something about the history of the argument.

William Miller, Josiah Litch, Sylvester Bliss, Joseph Bates, J. N. Andrews, Hiram Edison, James White, Uriah Smith, Steven Haskell, O. A. Johnson, J. G. Matson, F. C. Gilbert, L.A. Smith, Washburn, and Loughborough all believed the 'Daily' symbolized paganism—and they presented this truth in their writings.

Bearing a False Report

A. G. Daniells came out in September 25, 1931 with a claim that he had interviewed Ellen White in 1910 on the subject of the 'Daily' and that she endorsed his false view of the 'Daily'. He claimed she agreed with him. Now it's been one of the key arguments about this false view being the view for Adventism to this very day, that Daniells had interviewed her while she was still alive, and she said he had the light on it. *But the White Estate has no record that such an interview ever took place!* The big question is if he really did have such evidence, then *why* did he wait so long to put it forth? He would have been crowing it from the house-tops the very next day!

During that time period, in the very year that Daniells points back to, claiming he had interviewed Ellen White on June 8 of 1910, F. C. Gilbert did interview her and *this interview is recorded* in the White Estate that he was there. Daniells said that he interviewed Ellen White, and yet if you go back in the White Estate accounts, they have no record of any such interview with Daniells.

F. C. Gilbert was a Hebrew scholar, and he didn't simply support the correct view of the 'Daily' that it was paganism, because the pioneers and Ellen White said it was; he could defend it from the Hebrew. He was a prominent Hebrew scholar during 1900-1915 when the controversy continued to grow that Daniells and Prescott were pushing. He was the prominent scholar to defend the correct position against this false view coming in and he *did* have an interview with Ellen White on June 8, 1910, and the very day after his interview he wrote down a brief summary of what he and Sister White had discussed. He said in his statement that he had heard Ellen White clearly say the day before, that Daniells' and Prescott's position on the 'Daily' was; *"A SCHEME OF THE DEVIL"!*

Now it is clear from the records of the White Estate that he did have this interview, and yet 21 years later Daniells says he had an interview that year with Ellen White, which the White Estate can't confirm, and Daniells' testimony is completely contrary to F. C. Gilbert's. Completely opposes it; yet we as God's professed people choose Daniells' testimony over the pioneers and F. C. Gilbert! Down through Adventist history Daniells' misleading testimony is one of the pieces of the puzzle that allows us to come to this wrong conclusion here at the End of the World!

The Proof of the Deception

Now when Manuscript Releases began to be published, there was released a letter written in the 1910 time period. It is found in MR 20, pgs 17-22. Here Sister White is clearly talking about Daniells' and Prescott's position on the 'Daily' and *the phrases that you find in F. C. Gilbert's*

Understanding The 'Daily' B

report, the day after he interviewed Ellen White are almost identical to what Sister White herself says about the 'Daily', Daniells, and Prescott. For many, many years we had no concrete testimony to refute Daniells' claim, but when it came out, we find it totally upholds F. C. Gilbert's position! Sadly, still today, we are not seeing our leaders refute this, because in the controversy that raged about the 'Daily' from 1900-1915, Sister White was taking the position 'let's just keep quiet on this,' because only two men in Adventism wanted to push this false view and nobody else.

Today, those people that want to continue to uphold this false view go back to Sister White's statement and say, "Hey, the 'Daily' is not a test question, and she says we're not supposed to agitate this question", and that was true for that time. But the situation has reversed today; circumstances have dramatically changed. The true position of the 'Daily' is now in the minority and virtually all in the majority have the false position. If that's all this involved, maybe her counsel in that regard should still stand, 'just let the subject drop'. But today we see that Sister White also identified the history of the 'Daily' as an important clue in understanding prophecy here at the End Times. It is now essential to make sure that we're correct about what the 'Daily' really is.

F. C. Gilbert Testifies

Here are some of the phrases out of F. C. Gilbert's testimony that he used the day after he interviewed Ellen White concerning Daniells and Prescott, and the 'Daily'. He reported that on June 8, 1910, Ellen White stated to him; that "which Daniells and Prescott were pushing [the 'Daily'] was "a scheme of the devil."

"Daniells and Prescott ...would not give the older brethren in the cause any chance to say anything. ...*Daniells was here to see me, and I would not see him.* ...I would not have anything to say to him about anything. About the 'Daily' that they are trying to work up, there is nothing to it. ...When I was in Washington there seemed to be something that just encased their minds, and I could not seem to touch them. We are to have nothing to do with this subject of the 'Daily' ...I knew they would work against my message, and then the people would not think there was anything to my message. I have written to him and told him that he was showing himself not fit to be president of the General Conference. ...not the man to keep the Presidency.

"If this message of the 'Daily' were a testing message the Lord would have shown me. These people do not see the end from the beginning in this thing. ...I utterly refuse to see any of them who are engaged in this work.

"The light that was given me of God is that Brother Daniells has stood in the Presidency long enough. . . and I was told not to have any more conversation with him about any of these things. I would not see Daniells about the matter, and I would not have one word with him. They pled with me to give him an interview, but I would not give him any at all. ...I was told to warn our people not to have anything to do with this thing they are teaching. ...I was forbidden of the Lord to listen to it. I have expressed myself as not having a particle of confidence in it. ...*This whole thing they are doing is a scheme of the devil.*" F. C. Gilbert's report of an interview given him by Ellen White on June 8, 1910.

Understanding The 'Daily' B

Now that is just snippets out of what F. C. Gilbert wrote that Ellen White had told him the day before concerning Daniells, Prescott and the 'Daily', and this was ignored in Adventist history concerning the subject of the 'Daily'.

In looking at this manuscript that came out in Manuscript Releases volume 20; by the context of it, it was written in the 1910 time period. The focus of this letter was the 'Daily', Prescott and Daniells, and Sister White says clearly their position—that it is the work Christ is doing in the sanctuary—is a scheme of the devil. But you'll also hear the echo from F. C. Gilbert's testimony. He was giving an accurate testimony and it was overlooked, ignored, and buried away.

A.G. Daniells was elected president of the General Conference in 1901. This suggests that this document was written in 1910, a time when Mrs. White was very concerned about Daniells' neglect of the cities and his involvement in the controversy over the 'Daily.'

“At this stage of our experience we are not to have our minds drawn away from the special light given [us] to consider at the important gathering of our conference. And there was Brother Daniells, *whose mind the enemy was working*; and your mind and Elder Prescott's mind were being worked by *the angels that were expelled from heaven*. Satan's work was to divert your minds that jots and tittles should be brought in which the Lord did not inspire you to bring in. They were not essential. But this meant much to the cause of truth. And the ideas of your minds, if you could be drawn away to jots or tittles, is a work of Satan's devising. To correct little things in the books written, you suppose would be doing a great work. But I am charged, Silence is eloquence.”

She's speaking about their desire to go back into the pioneer books and change what they said about the 'Daily'.

"I am to say, Stop your picking flaws. If this purpose of the devil could only be carried out, then [it] appears to you [that] your work would be considered as most wonderful in conception. It was the enemy's plan to get all the supposed objectionable features where all classes of minds did not agree. And what then? The very work that pleases the devil would come to pass. There would be a representation given to the outsiders not of our faith just what would suit them, that would develop traits of character which would cause great confusion and occupy the golden moments which should be used zealously to bring the great message before the people. The presentations upon any subject we have worked upon could not all harmonize, and the results would be to confuse the minds of believers and unbelievers. This is the very thing that Satan had planned that should take place—anything that could be magnified as a disagreement.

"

“Read Ezekiel, chapter 28. Now, here is a grand work, where strange spirits can figure. But the Lord has a work to [be] done to save perishing souls; and the places which Satan, disguised, could fill in, bringing confusion into our ranks, he will do to perfection, and all those little differences will become enlarged, prominent.”

"And I was shown from the first that the Lord had given neither Elders Daniells nor Prescott the burden of this work. Should Satan's wiles be brought in, should this 'Daily' be such a great matter as to be brought in to confuse minds and hinder the advancement of the work at this important period of time? It should not, whatever may be. This subject should not be

Understanding The 'Daily' B

introduced, for the spirit that would be brought in would be forbidding, and Lucifer is watching every movement. Satanic agencies would commence his work and there would be confusion brought into our ranks. You have no call to hunt up the difference of opinion that is not a testing question; but your silence is eloquence. I have the matter all plainly before me. If the devil could involve anyone of our own people in these subjects, as he has proposed to do, Satan's cause would triumph. Now the work without delay is to be taken up and not a [difference] of Opinion expressed.

"Satan would inspire those men who have gone out from us to unite with evil angels and retard our work on unimportant questions, and what rejoicing [there] would be in the camp of the enemy. Press together, press together. Let every difference be buried. Our work now is to devote all our physical and brain-nerve power to put these differences out of the way, and all harmonize. If Satan could with his great unsanctified wisdom be permitted to get the least hold, [he would rejoice].

"Now, when I saw how you were working, my mind took in the whole situation and the results if you should go forward and give the parties that have left us the least chance to bring confusion into our ranks. Your lack of wisdom would be just what Satan would have it. Your loud proclamation was not under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit. I was instructed to say to you that your picking flaws in the writings of men that have been led of God is not inspired of God. And if this is the wisdom that Elder Daniells would give to the people, by no means give him an official position, for he cannot reason from cause to effect.

"Your silence on this subject is your wisdom. Now, everything like picking flaws in the publications of men who are not alive is not the work God has given any of you to do. For if these men--Elders Daniells and Prescott--had followed the directions given in working the cities, there would have been many, very many, convinced of the truth and converted, able men that [now] are in positions where they never will be reached."

She's rebuking them for not just going to work and giving the message in the cities. What they wanted to do was go back and change the pioneer writings which Sister White endorsed.

"All the world is to be regarded as one great family. And when you have such a fountain of knowledge to draw from, why have you left the world to perish for years with the testimonies given by our Lord Jesus Christ? True religion teaches us to regard every man and woman as a person to whom we can do good.

"This has been in print many years: 'A Balanced Mind', testimony to Elder Andrews. The mind may be cultivated to become a power to know when to speak and what burdens to take up and to bear, for Christ is your teacher. And I feared greatly for you [when I saw you] exalting your wisdom and pursuing a course to bring in differences of opinion. The Lord calls for wise men who can hold their peace when it [is] wisdom for them to do so. If you would be a whole man, you need sanctification through Jesus Christ. Now there is a work just started, and let wisdom be seen in every minister, in every president of [a] conference. But here was a work for you to take hold of years ago where you were needed to lift your voice for this very work. Christ gave all His people special directions what they shall do and the things they shall not do. And there is a little time left us to work out the righteousness of the Lord.

Understanding The 'Daily' B

"You can understand the way of the Lord. I saw your purpose of carrying things after your own devising after you were placed as president. You had thought you would do wonderful things, which would be a work God had not placed in your hands to do. Now, your work is not to oppress but to release every necessity possible if the Lord has accepted you to serve. But you have very early given evidence that wisdom and sanctified judgment have not been manifested by you. You blazed out matters that would not be received unless the Lord should give light.

"I have been instructed that such hasty movements should not have [been] made [such] as selecting you as president of the conference even another year. But the Lord forbids any more such hasty transactions until the matter is brought before the Lord in prayer; and as you have had the message come to you that the work of the Lord resting upon the president is a most solemn responsibility, you had no moral right to blaze out as you did upon the subject of the 'Daily' and suppose your influence would decide the question. There was Elder Haskell, who has carried the heavy responsibilities, and there is Elder Irwin and several men I might mention who have the heavy responsibilities.

"Where was your respect for the men of age? What authority could you exercise without taking all the responsible men to weigh the matter? But let us now investigate the matter. We must now reconsider whether it is the Lord's judgment, in the face of the work that has been neglected, of showing your zeal to carry the work even another year. If you should carry the work another year with the help that shall unite with you, there should be a change take place in you and Elder Prescott, And humble your own hearts before God. The Lord will have to see in you a showing of a different experience, for if ever men needed to be reconverted at this present [time], it [is] Elder Daniells and Elder Prescott.

"Seven men should be chosen that are men of wisdom and through the working of the grace of God [give] evidence [of] a reconversion. For any men who are so blinded that they cannot reason from cause to effect, that they would ignore the men who have borne the responsibilities of the work and these presidents of conferences, [that] men [who] carry the work for over two years should be disregarded and such an impulsive consequence take place that men would neglect the very work kept before them for years--work the cities--and no, or but very little, attention [be] given to the old men for counsel, but proclaim the things they choose to give the people, bears its own testimony . . . of the unsafety of the men to be entrusted with such a grand and wonderful work.

"Christ is not dead. He will never suffer His work to be carried on in this strange way. Let the books alone. If any change is essential, God will have the harmony in that change consistent, but when a message has been entrusted to men with the large responsibilities involved, [God] demands faithfulness that will work by love and purify the soul. Elders Daniells and Prescott both need reconversion. A strange work has come in, and it is not in harmony with the work Christ came to our world to do; and all who are truly converted will work the works of Christ.

"We are every one [to] work out the work which shall glorify the Father. We have come to the crisis--either to conform to the character of Jesus Christ right in this preparatory time or not attempt [it]. Elder Daniells, [you are not] to feel at liberty to let your voice be heard on high as you have done under similar circumstances. And understand the president of a conference is not a ruler. He works in connection with the wise men who occupy the position as presidents

Understanding The 'Daily' B

whom God has accepted. He has not liberty to meddle with the writings in printed books from the pens that God has accepted. They are no longer to bear sway unless they show less of the ruling, dominating power. The crisis has come, for God will be dishonored.

"How does the Lord look upon the unworked cities? Christ is in heaven. Now its acknowledgment is to be, 'There is no kingly rule.' And now is the crisis of this world. -Now I am the Power to save or to destroy. Now is the time when the destiny of all is in My hands. I have given My life to save the world. And 'I, if I be lifted up,' the saving grace I shall impart will prove that all who will be fashioned after the divine similitude and will be one with Me shall work as I work with My power of redeeming grace. Whoever will, [let him] take hold with his brethren to do the work given them to do when in responsible places under the counsel the Lord gives, and seek most earnestly to work in complete harmony with Him who so loved the world He gave His life a full sacrifice for the saving of the world.

"I speak to our ministers, that as they enter upon the work in our cities let there be a calm sacredness attending the ministry of the Word. We cannot make the proper impression upon the minds of the people if we ... [Lower third of this page left blank.]

"I copy from my Diary. The truth as it is in Jesus--talk it, pray it, believe every word in its simplicity. What would you gain if mistakes are brought before the men who have departed from the faith and given heed to seducing spirits, men who were not long ago with us in the faith? Will you stand on the devil's side? Give your attention to the unworked fields. A world-wide work is before us. I was given representations of John Kellogg. A very attractive personage was representing the ideas of the specious arguments that he was presenting, sentiments different from the genuine Bible truth. And those who are hungering and thirsting after something new were advancing ideas [so specious] that Elder Prescott was in great danger. Elder Daniells was in great danger [of] becoming wrapped in a delusion that if these sentiments could be spoken everywhere it would be as a new world.

"Yes, it would, but while their minds were thus absorbed I was shown that Brother Daniells and Brother Prescott were weaving into their experience sentiments of a spiritual[istic] appearance and drawing our people to beautiful sentiments that would deceive, if possible, the very elect. I have to trace with my pen [the fact] that these brethren would see defects in their delusive ideas that would place the truth in an uncertainty; and [yet] they [would] stand out as [if they had] great spiritual discernment. Now I am to tell them [that] when I was shown this matter, when Elder Daniells was lifting up his voice like a trumpet in advocating his ideas of the 'Daily,' the after results were presented. Our people were becoming confused. I saw the result, and then there were given me cautions that if Elder Daniells without respect to the outcome should thus be impressed and let himself believe he was under the inspiration of God, skepticism would be sown among our ranks everywhere, and we should be where Satan would carry his messages. Set unbelief and skepticism would be sown in human minds, and strange crops of evil would take the place of truth." Manuscript 67, 1910, 1-8. *Manuscript Release*, volume 20, 17-22.

Brothers and sisters, Sister White did not endorse Daniells' and Prescott's New View of the 'Daily' as being Christ's work in the sanctuary! She endorsed the position of William Miller and she didn't sit idly by and not oppose these men, she said that Daniells shouldn't be General

Understanding The 'Daily' B

Conference President another day longer! That he was being led by angels that had fallen from heaven; that this 'New View' would bring in confusion and darkness.

As we consider what the 'Daily' symbolizes in the book of Daniel, the history that it's related to, we see the importance of understanding it correctly if we're going to use that history as a pattern to understand the end times. Without knowing that history to use as an example, we're in danger of not recognizing this final time that the Papacy is taking the world captive is under way and happening today. As the only people raised up to clarify these issues for the world, we must awaken to the truth that these end time scenes are under way if we're going to be among those that give this final warning cry.